

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCY United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/06/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/560,690	12/13/2005	Michael C. Gaidis	FIS920030128US1	- 5600	
32074	7590 10/06/2006		EXAMINER		
INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION			GOODWIN, DAVID J		
DEPT. 18G BLDG. 300-4	82		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
2070 ROUTE	52 JUNCTION NV 12533		2818		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A	Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			10/560,690	690 GAIDIS, MICHAEL C.		L C.			
		E	Examiner		Art Unit				
		0	David Goodwin		2818				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu	nication appea	rs on the cover si	heet with the co	orrespondence ad	ldress			
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any (ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE NEW PROOF OF THE NEW PRO	MAILING DAT s of 37 CFR 1.136(a munication. tatutory period will a y will, by statute, ca	E OF THIS COM a). In no event, however apply and will expire SIX suse the application to be	IMUNICATION r, may a reply be time ((6) MONTHS from the	ely filed the mailing date of this coordinate.				
Status	•								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>29 <i>Mar</i>d</u>	ch 2006.						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restri	are withdrawn							
Applicati	on Papers								
9)□ 10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on 13 December Applicant may not request that any objected the Cartest drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected the coath of the co	er 2005 is/are: ection to the dra g the correction	awing(s) be held in n is required if the d	abeyance. See drawing(s) is obje	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
2) Notice 3) Information	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 12/13/05	PTO-948)	Pa 5) <u> </u>	terview Summary (aper No(s)/Mail Dat otice of Informal Pa ther:	te				

Application/Control Number: 10/560,690

Art Unit: 2818

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 13 is objected to because of the following informalities: The claim recites the limitation "the formation of said via." There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 1. Claims 1, 2, and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Anma (US 6,599,809).
- 2. Regarding claim 1.
- 3. Anma teaches a method of an alignment mark structure for a semiconductor device. Said method comprises forming an alignment recess (2) at a selected level of the semiconductor substrate (1) (fig 4) (column 9 lines 30-40). A first metal layer (4) is formed over the selected substrate level and within the alignment recess (2). Said

Page 2

Art Unit: 2818

alignment recess (2) is formed at a depth such that said first metal layer (4) only partially fill said alignment recess (2) (column 9 lines 30-45). A second metal layer (5) is formed over the first metal layer (4) and the alignment mark is completely filled (fig 4) (column 9 lines 35-45). Planarizing the second metal layer (5) and the first metal layer (4) to said selected substrate (1) level, creating a sacrificial plug of second layer material within the alignment recess (2) (fig 5) (column 9 lines 40-60). The sacrificial plug is removed in a manner so as not to substantially roughen the planarized surface at the selected substrate level (fig 6) (column 9 lines 60-65).

- 4. Regarding claim 2.
- 5. The second metal has an etch selectivity with respect to the first metal (column 9 lines 30-50).
- 6. Regarding claim 3.
- 7. Said second metal layer (5) has an etch selectivity with respect to a dielectric (1) material surrounding said alignment recess (2) (column 9 lines 30-55).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2818

9. Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anma (US 6,599,809) as applied to claim 2 above, and further in view of Chiang (US 6,413,383).

- 10. Regarding claim 4
- 11. Anma teaches elements of the claimed invention above.
- 12. Anma does not teach the deposition of tantalum and copper.
- 13. Chiang teaches the deposition of a first tantalum nitride diffusion barrier layer, with a tantalum adhesion layer deposited thereon and copper deposited thereon (column 5 lines 35-50).
- 14. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to fill the trenches with tantalum and copper in order to increase conductivity and inhibit diffusion contamination.
- 15. Regarding claim 5.
- 16. Chiang teaches the deposition of a first tantalum nitride diffusion barrier layer, with a tantalum adhesion layer deposited thereon and copper deposited thereon (column 5 lines 35-50).
- 17. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to fill the trenches with tantalum and copper in order to increase conductivity and inhibit diffusion contamination.

18.

Art Unit: 2818

19. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anma (US 6,599,809) as applied to claim 2 above, and further in view of Agarwala (US 6,972,209).

- 20. Regarding claim 6
- 21. Anma teaches elements of the claimed invention above.
- 22. Anma does not teach the method of depositing metal layers.
- 23. Agarwala teaches that metal layers for a via may be deposited by CVD or PVD (column 6 lines 50-65).
- 24. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to deposit the metal layers by CVD or PVD in order to obtain a highly uniform layer over the surface of the substrate.
- 25. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anma (US 6,599,809) as applied to claim 2 above, and further in view of Okada (US 3,887,993).
- 26. Regarding claim 7
- 27. Anma teaches elements of the claimed invention above.
- 28. Anma does not teach the composition of the wet etch.
- 29. Okada teaches the use of dilute phosphoric acid to etch a tungsten layer (column 5 lines 10-25).
- 30. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use dilute phosphoric acid because these materials and processes are frequently used in miniaturized device fabrication so the properties, techniques, and materials required for

Art Unit: 2818

their use in processing are well understood, predictable, reliable, and available. This common use and well known understanding of the material and process will result in a high production efficiency and lower failure rates.

- 31. Claims 9, 10, 11, 12, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anma (US 6,599,809) as applied to claim 2 above, and further in view of applicants admitted prior art (figs 1- 3d).
- 32. Regarding claim 9
- 33. Anma teaches elements of the claimed invention above.
- 34. Anma does not teach the alignment mark is used with an MRAM.
- 35. Applicant's admitted prior art teaches an MRAM having a via and an alignment mark (fig 3).
- 36. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use an alignment mark to align the elements of the MRAM device so that the elements of the device will line up correctly thereby forming a high quality connection.
- 37. Regarding claim 10.
- 38. Applicant's admitted prior art teaches an MRAM having a via, wherein said alignment recess is formed at a greater depth than a depth of a via used to connect a metal strap of the MRAM device to a lower metallization level line of the MRAM device and an alignment mark (fig 3a).
- 39. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to make the alignment mark deeper than the via used to contact the underlying metal so that the mark will be visible after the deposition process.

Art Unit: 2818

40. Regarding claim 11.

41. Applicant's admitted prior art teaches an MRAM having a via, wherein the level is at a level used to define a metal strap. The material used to define said metal strap is opaque (page 5 lines 1-20).

- 42. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to form an alignment mark at a level of a metal strap in order that the strap, MRAM device, and underlying vias, and metalizations can be properly lined up.
- 43. Regarding claim 12.
- 44. Applicant's admitted prior art teaches the alignment recess (130) is formed simultaneously with the via and is fully formed by an overetch (fig 3a) (page 5 lines 15-30).
- 45. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to define the recess and the via at the same time to reduce the number of process steps.
- 46. Regarding claim 13.
- 47. Applicant's admitted prior art teaches the alignment recess (130) is defined subsequent to the formation of the via (fig 3a) (page 6 lines 5-20).
- 48. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to define the via after the formation of the via to reduce the possibility of contamination of the via.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Goodwin whose telephone number is (571)272-

Art Unit: 2818

8451. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 9:00am through 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Smith can be reached on (571)272-1907. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DJG

andy Kuyo